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In this paper we investigate the influence of an isochronous suspension, which was used for astronomical clocks by Fedchenko (AChF) [1] on the period of pendulum oscillation. The formula which is obtained for the period allows one to estimate the influence of changes in the suspension parameters on the isochronous property.

1. As is well known, the period of oscillation of a pendulum is given by the formula

$$
T=T_{0}\left(1+\frac{\theta_{0}{ }^{2}}{16}+\ldots\right)
$$

where $\theta_{0}$ is the angular amplitude and $T_{0}$ is a quantity which is independent of $\theta_{0}$ (the period to the zeroth order of approximation). Anisochronism, i.e. the dependence of the period on the amplitude, is one of the most important sources of inaccuracies in pendulum clocks. This insufficiency was overcome to a certain extent by Fedchenko [1] whose clocks differ from existing astronomical clocks in their simplicity and high degree of accuracy. This was realized through the use of a new type of pendulum suspension - the so-called isochronous suspension. The suspension consists of three plane springs placed at the position of equilibrium of the pendulum in a single plane, perpendicular to the plane of oscillation of the pendulum. The upper ends of the springs are embedded in the body of the clock, while the lower ends are rigidly attached to the pendulum arm (Fig. 1). The two short side springs are completely identical and their symetrical location guarantees the absence of transverse bending during the pendulum oscillation; for the subsequent consideration they may be replaced by a single spring of double thickness. The middle spring is longer than the side springs, and the points of support of its upper and lower ends are correspondingly displaced above and below the points of support of the ends of the short springs. The isochronous action of the clock is regulated by an upward or downward
shift of the long spring along the longitudinal axis of the pendulum.


Fig. 1.

We note in passing that although all the springs are thickened at their ends we shall consider their transverse cross-sections to be constant, and shall take as the lengths of the springs the lengths of their thin portions.

We take as the origin of coordinates the point of support to the body of the clock of the upper end of the short spring, while as independent variables we take the coordinates of the lower end $a_{1}$ and $\beta_{1}$ and the angle of inclination $\theta$ (Fig. 1). Neglecting the kinetic energy of the suspension, whose mass is much smaller than the mass $m$ of the pendulum, it is easy to write the Lagrangian function for the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
L= & \frac{1}{2} m\left(L^{2}+R^{2}\right) \dot{\theta^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} m\left(\dot{\alpha}_{1}^{2}+\dot{\beta}_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& +m L \dot{\theta}\left(\dot{\beta_{1}} \cos \theta-\dot{\alpha}_{1} \sin \theta\right)-
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
m g\left(l_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)-m g L(1-\cos \theta)-U_{1}-U_{2}
$$

Here $L$ is the distance from the point of support of the short spring at the pendulum arm to the center of gravity $G, R$ is the radius of gyration, chosen so that the moment of inertia with respect to $G$ is equal to $m R^{2}, l_{1}$ is the length of the short spring, $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ are the energies of deformation of the short and long springs of the suspension, respectively.

Denoting by $X_{i}, Y_{i}$ and $N_{i}$ (in units of weight of the pendulum) the forces and moments which act on the pendulum from the sides of the short ( $i=1$ ) and long ( $i=2$ ) springs, we obtain the equations of motion of the pendulum:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ddot{\alpha}_{1}-L \ddot{\theta} \sin \theta-L \dot{\theta}^{2} \cos \theta=g\left(1-X_{1}-X_{2}\right) \\
\ddot{\beta}_{1}+L \ddot{\theta} \cos \theta-L \dot{\theta}^{2} \sin \theta=-g\left(Y_{1}+Y_{2}\right)  \tag{1.1}\\
R^{2} / g \cdot \ddot{\theta}+N_{1}+N_{2}=L\left(Y_{1} \cos \theta-X_{1} \sin \theta\right)+(L-\rho)\left(Y_{2} \cos \theta-X_{2} \sin \theta\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $\rho$ is the displacement of the lower end of the long spring along the pendulum rod. Having obtained $X_{i}, Y_{i}$ and $N_{i}$ from a consideration of the
spring deformations, one could attempt to obtain an expression for the period of change of $\theta$ from (1.1). However, within the accuracy which interests us, the period may be obtained by the use of a method which is similar to that which was worked out in [2] for the ordinary singlespring suspension.
2. In the design under consideration $R \ll L$, and hence we may restrict ourselves to an investigation of the mathematical pendulum $(R=0)$. The third of Equations (1.1) is transformed into an equation coupling the variables. Moreover, $l_{1} \ll L$, and hence we shall assume that the change in $a_{1}$ is determined only by the angle of inclination, thereby excluding from consideration the vibration of the point of attachment of the pendulum to the suspension. Of the three variables, only one remains independent. We choose for this $\theta$.

Assuming that the amplitude of oscillation of an astronomical clock is ordinarily $1-3^{\circ}$, that is, $\theta \ll 1$, we solve the problem by the method of successive approximations. To the zeroth order, the system (1.1) is

$$
\begin{gather*}
X_{1}{ }^{\circ}+X_{2}{ }^{\circ}=1 \\
\ddot{\beta_{1}}+L \ddot{\theta}=-g\left(Y_{1}{ }^{\circ}+Y_{2}{ }^{\circ}\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
N_{1}{ }^{\circ}+N_{2}{ }^{\circ}=L\left(Y_{1}{ }^{\circ}-X_{1}{ }^{\circ} \theta\right)+(L-p)\left(Y_{2}{ }^{\circ}-X_{2}{ }^{\circ} \theta\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

where the index ${ }^{\circ}$ denotes terms of the corresponding order in $\theta$ in the expressions for $X_{i}, Y_{i}$ and $N_{i}$.

It is obvious that the $X_{i}$ are even functions of $\theta$ and that the $X_{i}{ }^{\circ}$ are constants which should be equal to the corresponding values in the position of equilibrium. Here the springs are unbent but are extended by a certain amount, so that the length of the short spring is $l_{1}$ and that of the long spring $l_{2}$. The first relation (2.1) and the condition of equilibrium of extension of the springs

$$
\Delta l=\frac{X_{i}^{\circ}}{K_{i}} \quad\left(K_{i}=\frac{E_{i} S_{i}}{l_{i} m g}\right)
$$

(where $E_{i}, S_{i}$ are the Young's moduli and the cross-sectional areas of the springs, respectively ( $i=1.2$ )) allows one to write

$$
X_{i}^{\circ}=\frac{K_{i}}{K_{1}+K_{2}}, \quad \frac{X_{1}^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}}{\bar{X}_{2}^{\circ}}=\frac{E_{1} S_{1} l_{2}}{E_{2} S_{2} l_{1}}
$$

For the suspension which is used in the AChF clocks $E_{1}=E_{2}, S_{1} \approx S_{2}$ and $l_{2} \gg l_{1}$, that is, $X_{1}^{\circ} \gg X_{2}^{\circ}$.

In the approximation under consideration, we may assume that the spring lengths do not change and determine $Y_{i}$ and $N_{i}$ from the equations for pure
bending. For the short spring (Fig. 1) this has the form (for example, [3], Part II, (18.1), (18.6) and (19.3))

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1} I_{1} \frac{d^{2} \varphi}{d p_{2}}=\mathrm{I}_{1} \sin \varphi-Y_{1} \cos \varphi, \quad \Gamma_{1}-\frac{b_{1} h_{1}{ }^{3}}{12} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $b_{1}$ and $h_{1}$ are the width and thickness of the spring; $0 \ll p \ll l_{1}$. $0 \ll \phi \ll \theta$, where $p=0 P$ is the distance from 0 to the generic point $F$ and $\phi$ is the angle of inclination of the tangent with the $x$-axis at the point $P$. At the ends of the spring the following conditions must be satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(0)=0, \quad \varphi\left(l_{1}\right)=\theta, \quad \beta_{1}=\int_{0}^{l_{1}} \sin \varphi d p, \quad \alpha_{1}=\int_{0}^{l_{1}} \cos \varphi d p \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case at hand $\phi \ll \theta \ll 1$, and to the zeroth order approximation (2.2) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\omega_{1}^{2}} \frac{d^{2} \varphi^{\circ}}{d p^{2}}=\varphi^{\circ}-y_{1}^{\circ}, \quad \omega_{1}^{2}=\frac{X_{1}^{\circ}}{E_{1} I_{1}}, \quad y_{1}^{\circ}=\frac{Y_{1}^{\circ}}{X_{1}^{\circ}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Correspondingly, from (2.3) we have the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{2}(0)=0 . \quad \varphi^{c}\left(l_{1}\right)=\theta, \quad \beta_{1}=\int_{0}^{l_{1}} \varphi^{\circ} d p, \quad \alpha_{1}^{\circ}=l_{1} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the aid of (2.5), the solution of (2.4) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{=}=\frac{\sinh \omega_{1} p}{\sinh } 2 u_{1} \div y_{1}^{c}\left[1-\frac{\cosh \left(\omega_{1} p-u_{1}\right)}{\cosh u_{1}}\right] \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 u_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{12 m g l_{1}^{2} l_{2}}{h_{1}{ }^{2}\left(E_{1} S_{1} l_{2}+E_{2} S_{2} l_{1}\right)}}, \quad y_{1}{ }^{\circ}=\frac{\omega_{1} \beta_{1}-\theta \tanh u_{1}}{2 u_{1}-2 \tanh u_{1}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing the distance from $0^{\prime}$ (Fig. 1) to the generic point $Q, q=0^{\circ} Q$ for the long spring, and denoting the angle of the tangent with the $x$-axis at the point $Q$ by $\psi$, it is easy to obtain an equation, together with its solution, which is analogous to (2.2) through (2.7), respectively. In order to obtain $\phi^{\circ}$ and $\psi^{\circ}$ in final form, we use the third of relations (2.1). As is well known (for example, [3])

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}^{\circ}=E_{1} I_{1}\left(\frac{d \varphi^{\circ}}{d p}\right)_{p=l_{1}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantity $N_{2}^{\circ}$ is determined analogously. Using $\beta_{2}=\beta_{1}+\rho \theta$ (Fig.1) in the approximation under consideration, we obtain $\beta_{1}=\lambda \theta$, where, under the conditions $L \gg l_{2} \gg l_{1}, X_{1}{ }^{\circ} \gg X_{2}{ }^{\circ}, 2 u_{2}>1$ and $2 u_{1} \gg 1$, which usually obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda=l_{1}\left(1-\frac{\tanh u_{1}}{2 u_{1}}\right)\left[1+\frac{S_{2} E_{2} l_{1}}{S_{1} E_{1} l_{2}} \frac{2 u_{1}-2 \tanh u_{1}}{2 u_{2}-2 \tanh u_{2}} \times\right. \\
\left.\times \frac{\left(l_{2}-l_{1}-\rho\right)\left(2 u_{2} / l_{2}\right)+\left(l_{1} / l_{2}\right)\left(u_{2} / u_{1}\right) \tanh u_{1}-\tanh u_{2}}{2 u_{1}-\operatorname{th} u_{1}}\right] \tag{2.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting $\beta_{1}$ into (2.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}^{\circ}=\frac{\left(2 u_{1} / l_{1}\right) \lambda-\tanh u_{1}}{2 u_{1}-2 \tanh u_{1}} \theta=W_{\mathrm{I}} \theta \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\phi^{\circ}=\kappa \theta$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{\sinh \omega_{1} p}{\sinh 2 u_{1}}+W_{1}\left\lfloor 1-\frac{\cosh \left(\omega_{1} p-u_{1}\right)}{\cosh u_{1}}\right\rfloor \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In an analogous manner, for the long spring $y_{2}{ }^{\circ}=W_{2} \theta$ and $\psi^{\circ}=\nu \theta$, where $W_{2}$ and $\nu$ differ from $W_{1}$ and $\kappa$ by a change of index from 1 to 2 and $p$ to $q$.

The second of Equations (2.1) transforms into

$$
(L+\lambda) \ddot{\theta}=-g\left(W_{1} X_{1}{ }^{\circ}+W_{2} X_{2}{ }^{\circ}\right)
$$

Hence, the zeroth-order period is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0}=2 \pi \sqrt{\frac{L-\lambda}{g\left(W_{1} X_{1}^{\circ}+W_{2} X_{2}{ }^{\circ}\right)}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the restrictions used in the derivation of (2.9), it can be shown that $W_{1} X_{1}{ }^{\circ}+W_{2} X_{2}{ }^{\circ}=1$, hence

$$
T_{0}=2 \pi \sqrt{\frac{L+\lambda}{g}}
$$

For $E_{2} \rightarrow 0, u_{2} \rightarrow \infty$

$$
T_{0}=2 \pi \sqrt{(1 / g)\left[L+l_{1}(1-\tanh \right.} \overline{\left.\left.u_{1} / 2 u_{1}\right)\right]}
$$

which coincides with the result obtained in [2] for the ordinary suspension.
3. To see the effect of the suspension on the anisochronous oscillations of the pendulum, we examine the following (first) approximation. In this approximation, the system of equations (1.1) has the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
(1 / g)\left[-\ddot{\alpha}_{1}+L\left(\theta \ddot{\theta}+\dot{\theta}^{2}\right)\right]=X_{1}{ }^{1}+X_{2}{ }^{1} \\
\ddot{\beta}_{1}+L \ddot{\theta}-L\left(\ddot{\theta} \theta^{2} / 2+\theta \theta^{2}\right)=-g\left(Y_{1}{ }^{\circ}+Y_{2}{ }^{\circ}+Y_{1}{ }^{1}+Y_{2}{ }^{1}\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
\left(N_{1}{ }^{\circ}+N_{1}{ }^{1}\right)+\left(N_{2}{ }^{\circ}+N_{2}{ }^{1}\right)=\left(1-\theta^{2} / 2\right)\left[L\left(Y_{1}{ }^{\circ}+Y_{1}{ }^{1}\right)+(L-\rho)\left(Y_{2}{ }^{\circ}+Y_{2}{ }^{1}\right)\right]+ \\
+\left(\theta-\theta^{3} / 6\right)\left[L\left(X_{1}{ }^{\circ}+X_{1}^{1}\right)+(L-\rho)\left(X_{2}{ }^{\circ}+X_{1}{ }^{1}\right)\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

Here, the upper index 1 denotes terms in $X_{i}, Y_{i}$ and $N_{i}$ of the corresponding order with respect to $\theta$.

Assuming that the $X_{i}{ }^{1}$ are known and that the change of the spring lengths is insignificant, we find $Y_{i}{ }^{1}$ and $N_{i}{ }^{1}$, respectively, from the equations of bending of the springs. To do this, we set $\phi=\phi^{\circ}+\phi^{1}$ in Equation (2.2); then for the determination of $\phi^{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\omega_{1}^{2}} \frac{d^{2} \varphi^{1}}{d p^{2}}=\varphi^{1}-y_{1}^{1}-\gamma(p) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
y_{1}^{1}=\frac{Y_{1}{ }^{1}}{X_{1}{ }^{\circ}}, \quad \Upsilon(p)=W_{1} \frac{x^{2}}{2} \theta^{3}-\frac{x^{3}}{6} \theta^{3}+x \frac{X_{1}^{1}}{X_{1}{ }^{\circ}} \theta
$$

With the notation $a_{1}=a_{1}{ }^{0}+a_{1}{ }^{1}$, we obtain from (2.3) and (2.5) the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad \varphi^{1}\left(l_{1}\right)=0, \quad \int_{0}^{l_{1}}\left(\varphi^{1}-\frac{1}{6} x^{3} \theta^{3}\right) d p=0, \quad \alpha_{1}^{1}=-\frac{\beta^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{l_{1}} x^{2} d p \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving (3.2) by the method of variation of parameters and using (3.3), we find

$$
y_{1}^{1}=\frac{\omega_{1}}{2 u_{1}-2 \tanh u_{1}} \int_{n}^{l_{1}}\left\{\gamma(p)\left[1-\frac{\cosh \left(\omega_{1} p-u_{1}\right)}{\cosh u_{1}}\right]+\frac{x^{3}}{6} \theta^{3}\right\} d p
$$

It is clear that

$$
\left.n_{1}{ }^{1}=\frac{1}{\omega_{1}^{2}}\left(\frac{d \varphi^{1}}{d p}\right)_{p=l_{1}}, \quad n_{1}{ }^{1}=\frac{N_{1}{ }^{1}}{X_{1}{ }^{\circ}} \quad \text { or } \quad n_{1}{ }^{1}=\int_{0}^{l_{1}} \gamma(p)\right)_{\tanh 2 u_{1}}^{\sinh \omega_{1} p} d p-y_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \frac{\tanh u_{1}}{\omega_{1}}
$$

By entirely the same method one may find $\psi^{1}, y_{2}{ }^{1}$ and $n_{2}{ }^{1}$.
Using the first and third of relations (3.1), we express $\beta_{1}$ in terms of $\theta$ to the order of $\theta^{3}$. Substituting the expression which is obtained into (2.7) and then into the second of Equations (3.1), we bring it to the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
(L+\lambda) \ddot{\theta}+g\left(W_{1} X_{1}{ }^{\circ}+W_{2} X_{3}{ }^{\circ}\right) \theta=F(\theta)  \tag{3.4}\\
F(\theta)=-\frac{g}{(L+\lambda)^{2}}\left\{\left(X_{1}{ }^{1} J_{1}{ }^{(2)}+X_{2}{ }^{1} J_{2}{ }^{(2)}-X_{2}{ }^{1} \rho\right) \theta-\frac{L \theta^{3}}{6}-\right. \\
-\frac{\theta^{3}}{6}\left(X_{1}{ }^{\circ} J_{1}{ }^{(4)}+X_{2}{ }^{\circ} J_{2}{ }^{(4)}\right)+\rho 0^{3} X_{2}{ }^{\circ}\left(\frac{1}{6}-\frac{2}{3} W_{2}\right)+ \\
\left.+\frac{\theta^{3}}{3}\left(W_{1} X_{1}{ }^{\circ} J_{1}^{(3)}+W_{2} X_{2}{ }^{\circ} J_{2}{ }^{(3)}\right)+\frac{2}{3} L \theta^{3} \frac{W_{1} X_{1}{ }^{\circ}+W_{2} X_{2}{ }^{\circ}}{L+\lambda}\left(\lambda+J_{1}^{(2)}\right)\right\} \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
J_{1}{ }^{(n)}=\int_{0}^{l_{1}} x^{n} d p, \quad J_{2}^{(n)}=\int_{0}^{l_{2}} v^{n} d q
$$

For the determination of the $X_{i}{ }^{1}$ we introduce the quantities

$$
\sigma=\left(\theta^{2} / 2\right) a, \quad a=J_{1}^{(2)} \div b-J_{2}^{(e)}
$$

Expression (3.3) for $\alpha_{1}{ }^{1}$ shows that $\sigma$ characterizes the difference between the elevation of the end of the short spring together with the portion of the arm of length $\rho$ and the end of the long spring if they were raised independently, and if the forces $\mathscr{K}_{i}$ acting on them were constant and equal to $X_{1}{ }^{\circ}$. (For approximate estimates we note that by replacing the bent springs by straight lines it is easy to obtain $a=\left(l_{2}-\right.$ $\left.l_{1}-\rho\right)\left(l_{1}+\rho\right) / l_{2}$.) In reality, the spring ends are attached to the pendulum arm and cannot rise independently; and depending on the design of the suspension two cases are possible.

1) The case $\sigma>0$; that is, the end of the long spring should be additionally raised or the end of the short spring lowered. If the bending energy is much smaller than the change in energy of the initial extension of the springs, then it can be assumed that the necessary matching of the position of the lower ends of the springs is attained only at the expense of their contraction or extension. Inasmuch as in our case $K_{1} \gg K_{2}$, the contraction of the long spring plays a basic role, while a smaller one is played by the extension of the short spring. It may be supposed that the force that acts on the long spring is changed to

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{2}{ }^{1}=-K_{2} G\left(1-K_{2} / K_{1}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

2) The case $\sigma<0$; for $K_{1} \gg K_{2}$ it is basically necessary to lower the end of the long spring. This can be accomplished not only at the expense of its extension, but also at the expense of the form of the bent spring, and in this case it is necessary to say what sort of deformation plays the larger role.

We return to the first case (as will be seen it is expressly this case that occurs). From the first of Equations (3.1) it follows that $\mid X_{1}{ }^{1}+$ $X_{2}{ }^{1} \mid \sim \theta^{2}$, while $\left|X_{2}{ }^{1}\right| \sim \theta^{2}\left(l_{2}-l_{1}-\rho\right) / \Delta l$; but usually $\Delta l \ll l_{2}-$ $l_{1}-\rho$, hence $\left|X_{1}{ }^{1}+X_{2}{ }^{1}\right| \ll\left|X_{2}^{1}\right|$. This allows one to assume that $X_{1}{ }^{1}=-X_{2}{ }^{1}$. In addition, $\kappa \leqslant 1$ and $\nu \leqslant 1$, that is, $J_{1}{ }^{(n)} \leqslant l_{1}$ and $J_{2}^{(n)} \leqslant l_{2}$, and also $X_{i}^{\circ} \leqslant 1,\left|Y_{i}\right| \sim 1$. Hence, all of the terms in (3.5) are much smaller than the second and the first, whose denominators contain small quantities $\Delta l$, and all except the second and first may be discarded:

$$
F(\theta)=\frac{g \theta^{3}}{(L+\lambda)^{2}}\left[\frac{L}{6}-\frac{K_{2} a^{2}}{2}\left(1-\frac{K_{2}}{K_{1}}\right)\right]
$$

The solution of equations with small nonlinearities of the type (3.4) has been worked out, for example, in [4]. In the present case, the correction to the period is obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta T}{T_{0}}=\frac{\hat{\theta}_{0}{ }^{2}}{16}\left[1-\frac{3 E_{2} S_{2}}{L m g l_{2}}\left(1-\frac{S_{2} E_{2} l_{1}}{\bar{S}_{1} E_{1} l_{2}}\right)\left(J_{1}{ }^{(2)}+\rho-J_{2}^{(2)}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{0}$ is the angular amplitude. Using (2.11), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1}^{(\Theta)}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{3 W_{1} \lambda-\left(\frac{1}{\sinh 2 u_{1}}+W_{1} \tanh l_{1}\right)^{2} l_{1}+\frac{1}{\omega_{1}}\left(\operatorname{coth} 2 u_{1}+W_{1} \tanh u_{1}\right)\right\} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and analogously $J_{2}{ }^{(2)}$.
Formula (3.7) is easily obtained from an examination of the energy of the system. Upon deviation, the potential energy of the ordinary pendulum of length $L$ is increased by approximately $m g L(1-\cos \theta)$, that is, not proportional to $\theta^{2}$ but somewhat slower. This leads to the presence of nonharmonic terms in the equations of motion and to anisochronous oscillations. Upon the inclination of the pendulum with the isochronous suspension, there occurs a redistribution of firces acting on the side of each of the springs and on the pendulum. If $\sigma>0$, then the force acting on the side of the long spring is decreased when the pendulum is inclined, corresponding to a decrease in the initial energy of extension $\Delta U_{2}=-1 / 8 K_{2} m g a^{2} \theta^{4}$. At the same time, the extensional energy of the short spring $\Delta U_{1}=1 / 8\left(K_{2}^{2} / K_{1}\right) m g a^{2} \theta^{4}$ is increased. For $K_{1} \gg K_{2}$ the energy of the entire suspension is decreased. The entire system (suspension and pendulum) is conservative, hence the decrease in the potential energy of
 the suspension corresponds to an increase in the energy of the pendulum. The term $1 / 21 \mathrm{mg}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{\theta}_{4}$ in the expression for the potential energy of the pendulum gives the correction to the period $(\Delta T) / T_{0}=1 / 16 \theta^{2}$, and in the present case it is simple to obtain a formula which coincides with (3.7).
a. We examine (3.7), using numerical data that apply to the suspension of AChr clocks: $E_{1}=E_{2}=2.1 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}, l_{1}=0.3 \mathrm{~cm}, l_{2}=1.6 \mathrm{~cm}$, $b_{1}=0.8 \mathrm{~cm}, b_{2}=0.6 \mathrm{~cm}, h_{1}=1 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~cm}, h_{2}=1.5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~cm}$; and, in addition, $L=100 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{mg}=10 \mathrm{~kg}$ 。

From (2.7), (2.9), (2.10) and (3.8), and likewise from analogous expressions for the long spring, one can compute the change in the isochronous property of the suspension as a function of the displacement $\rho$ of the lower end of the long spring along the pendulum arm. The results of such computation are shown on Fig. 2. For $\rho=\rho_{0}=0.5 \mathrm{~cm}$, which corresponds to $a=0.19 \mathrm{~cm}$, complete isochronism of the oscillation is obtained and $\Delta T=0$. For $\rho>0.7 \mathrm{~cm} \sigma=0$, and the above investigation is not valid.

It should be remarked that Expression (3.6) is meaningful only when the change in the energy of compression (extension) of the springs in the suspension is much larger than the change in the bending energy. In [3, Part II, Sect. 20] it is shown that this condition is violated for $\beta_{2} \ll h_{2}$ (for the long spring); for $\rho=0.5 \mathrm{~cm}$, this corresponds to $\theta_{1} \ll 1^{\circ}$. On the other hand, for a certain angle $\theta=\theta_{2}$, the length of the long spring becomes equal to its length before extension, and for $\theta \geq \theta_{2}$ the change in the energy of the support is determined only by the bending of the spring. It is seen that the forces that are excited in this case are much smaller than those that are obtained from (3.6), and the isochronous property of the suspension should no longer obtain.

From the condition $\Delta l=\sigma$ it is easy to obtain

$$
0_{2}^{2}=\frac{2}{a\left(K_{1}-K_{2}\right)}
$$

which for $\rho=0.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ is about $3^{\circ}$.
Formula (4.1) obtained above allows one to estimate the influence of a change of parameters over a region of angles in which the oscillations are isochronous. For $K_{1} \gg K_{2}, \theta_{2}^{2}=\left(2 l_{1} m g\right) /\left(a E S_{1}\right)$. If the change in the suspension parameters does not violate the relationship between $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$, then it is clear from this expression that an increase in $l_{1}$ or a decrease in $S_{1}$ leads to an increase in the region of isochronism. However, this is true only for small changes in these quantities, when it may be assumed that $a$ is constant.

In spite of a number of assumptions made in the solution and indicated above, an analysis of (3.7) leads to several conclusions.

1) The isochronous property of the suspension is both a result of the extension (compression) and bending of the springs. In this, the isochronous suspension is different from the usual single-spring suspension [2], where the extension of the spring hardly changes and where the investigation may be restricted to bending alone. In the isochronous suspension a basic role is played by the adcitional deformation of the long spring, which is associated with the incompatibility between the points
of support of its ends and the ends of the short spring [1]. The dependence in (3.7) on the weight of the pendulum, the arm length $L$, the transverse cross-section of the long spring $S_{2}$ and its Young's modulus $E_{2}$ agrees with experimental data. For $E_{2}=0$ the isochronous property of the support vanishes.
2) The estimate which has been made shows that the oscillations can be isochronous only to angles of the order of $3^{\circ}$. In the experiments, isochronous oscillations were observed from $30^{\prime}$ to $40^{\circ}$ up to $2.5^{\circ}$ to $3^{\circ}$, which agrees with the estimate.
3) It is seen from the above graph that $\Delta T(\theta)=0$ for $\rho=0.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. In actual suspensions $\rho$ can be adjusted, which regulates the degree of isochronism. Complete isochronism was actually observed for $\rho_{0}=0.5$ to 0.6 cm .

We extend thanks to L.M. Piatigorskii for help in the present work and to F.M. Fedchenko for proposing the topic, consultations on the design of the suspension and discussion of the results obtained.
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